Steady Couette flows of Elasto Visco Plastic fluids are non unique [J. Rheol. 2012, in press]

I. Cheddadi, P. Saramito, and F. Graner

INRIA Paris-Rocquencourt

Dissipative Rheology of foams, Dublin, January, 11 2012

Herschel-Bulkley plastic dissipation



Herschel-Bulkley : $\tau(\dot{\gamma}) = \tau_Y + K \dot{\gamma}^n$

[Coussot et al. JNNFM 2009]

• Prediction : localization if heterogeneous stress



[Coussot et al. JNNFM 2009]

January 11th 2012 2 / 10

How to include elasticity?

• elastic solid under yield stress

• tensorial framework because of elastic anisotropies





Elasto Visco Plastic : HB + elasticity



Cylindrical Couette

- $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v}(r)\mathbf{e}_{\theta} \Rightarrow 1\mathsf{D}$ resolution
- incompressible flow : $\nabla\cdot {\bm v}=0$
- no external force :

$$abla \cdot (au - pl) = 0 \Rightarrow au_{r heta} \propto 1/r^2$$



$$\begin{aligned} \tau_{rr} &= 0, \\ \frac{1}{2\mathsf{G}} \frac{\partial \tau_{r\theta}}{\partial \mathsf{t}} + \max\left(0, \frac{|\tau| - \tau_{\mathsf{Y}}}{2\mathcal{K}|\tau|^{n}}\right)^{\frac{1}{n}} \tau_{r\theta} &= D_{r\theta}, \\ \frac{1}{2\mathsf{G}} \left(\frac{\partial \tau_{\theta\theta}}{\partial \mathsf{t}} - 4\mathsf{D}_{r\theta}\tau_{r\theta}\right) + \max\left(0, \frac{|\tau| - \tau_{\mathsf{Y}}}{2\mathcal{K}|\tau|^{n}}\right)^{\frac{1}{n}} \tau_{\theta\theta} &= 0 \end{aligned}$$



3

Cylindrical Couette

- $\mathbf{v} = v(r)\mathbf{e}_{\theta} \Rightarrow 1D$ resolution
- incompressible flow : $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v} = 0$
- no external force :

$$abla \cdot (au - pl) = 0 \Rightarrow au_{r heta} \propto 1/r^2$$

• E

$$\nabla \mathbf{P} : \text{ additionnal terms} \qquad \begin{aligned} \tau_{rr} &= 0, \\ \frac{1}{2\mathsf{G}} \frac{\partial \tau_{r\theta}}{\partial \mathsf{t}} + \max\left(0, \frac{|\tau| - \tau_{Y}}{2K|\tau|^{n}}\right)^{\frac{1}{n}} \tau_{r\theta} &= D_{r\theta}, \\ \frac{1}{2\mathsf{G}} \left(\frac{\partial \tau_{\theta\theta}}{\partial \mathsf{t}} - 4\mathsf{D}_{r\theta}\tau_{r\theta}\right) + \max\left(0, \frac{|\tau| - \tau_{Y}}{2K|\tau|^{n}}\right)^{\frac{1}{n}} \tau_{\theta\theta} &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

coupling between normal stress and flow

I. Cheddadi (INRIA)

 \mathbf{i}_y

 \mathbf{e}_{θ}

 \overline{r}

Comparison with Debrégeas et al 2001 exp.

[IC et al, JoR 2012, in press]

$$G = 10$$
 Pa, $\varepsilon_Y = \tau_Y / (2G) = 0.175$, $n = 1/3$, $Bi = \frac{\tau_Y}{2\eta_2 V/L} = 10$.



Localization + residual stresses

Non unique steady state !

3 initial stresses \Rightarrow 3 steady states : $\tau_{\theta\theta}(r, 0) = -\sqrt{2}\tau_{Y}, \sqrt{2}\tau_{Y}, 0$



I. Cheddadi (INRIA)

Steady VEP flow non unicity

Predict localisation length? Cheddadi et al., J. Rheol, in press, 2012

Herschel-Bulkley + correction that depend mostly on ε_{Y} :



Both vary according to initial conditions - trapped stresses

Memory effect of normal stresses



3

Conclusion

EVP tensorial effects in steady Couette :

- residual stresses
- Non-unique flow
- discontinuous velocity gradient

non-reproductibility = sensitivity to experimental details

[Coussot et al. PRL 2002] vs [Coussot Ovarlez EPJE 2010]