Adapted Endomorphisms which generalize Bogoljubov Transformations

Rolf Gohm
Mathematisches Institut, Universität Tübingen
Auf der Morgenstelle 10, D-72076 Tübingen, Germany

February 10, 1998

Abstract

We discuss a class of endomorphisms of the hyperfinite II_1-factor which are adapted in a certain way to a tower $\mathbb{C}1 \subset \mathbb{C}^p \subset M_p \subset M_p \otimes \mathbb{C}^p \subset \ldots$ so that for $p = 2$ we get Bogoljubov transformations of a Clifford algebra. Results are given about surjectivity, innerness, Jones index and the shift property.

0 Introduction

Related to the interest in towers of algebras there is growing interest in endomorphisms which are in some way adapted to such towers. Some examples are given by V. Jones in [Jo]; see also the book [JS] of V. Jones and V.S. Sunder for some background. In these references the question is raised how global properties of these endomorphisms can be obtained from information restricted to various stages of the tower.

The starting point for this paper has been the observation that there is a certain class of endomorphisms of the hyperfinite II_1-factor which allow more detailed answers to these questions than are available in general. They are adapted to a tower

$$\mathcal{E}_{-1} \subset \mathcal{E}_0 \subset \mathcal{E}_{[0,1]} \subset \mathcal{E}_{[0,2]} \subset \ldots \;\simeq\; \mathbb{C}\,1 \subset \mathbb{C}^p \subset M_p \subset M_p \otimes \mathbb{C}^p \subset \ldots$$

in the sense that $\alpha(\mathcal{E}_{[0,n-1]}) \subset \mathcal{E}_{[0,n]}$ for all n and that some additional commutation relations are fulfilled (see section 1 for details). For $p = 2$ we get Bogoljubov transformations of a Clifford algebra (cf R.J. Plymen, P.L. Robinson [PR] to get an introduction for that). For $p > 2$ there is no functor, but from known results on Bogoljubov transformations we guess natural hypotheses about the general case, some of which are verified in this paper.

In section 1 we introduce from various points of view the class of endomorphisms to be discussed, introduce notation and give elementary properties to be needed later. The main results are in sections 2-4.

In section 2 we analyze this structure from the point of view of noncommutative stochastic processes (cf B. Kümmerer [Kü] for basic definitions). We believe that adaptedness properties with respect to a given tower add many useful possibilities to introduce and to calculate stochastic quantities. Here we calculate prediction errors (as they would be called in classical probability) and use this to determine when the endomorphism is surjective, i.e. an automorphism.

In section 3 we go further in this direction and determine when this automorphism is even inner. For Bogoljubov transformations this has been answered by a theorem of Blattner [Bl]. Not using the Clifford functor, we feel that our approach sheds some new light even on this classical situation.

In section 4 we discuss the non-surjective case. We calculate the Jones index $[\mathcal{A} : \alpha\mathcal{A}]$ and give a sufficient condition for the endomorphism α to be a shift in the sense of Powers. In this part some work remains to be done to obtain a more complete understanding.

Special features used throughout and not always present in more general towers are commutation relations, the independence of certain subalgebras and grading. Nevertheless, one might hope that it is possible to use the experiences made in analyzing these special endomorphisms for the study of more complicated cases.

1 A class of endomorphisms

It is convenient to start with a setting introduced by D. Bures and H-S. Yin in [BY-1]: Given a discrete abelian group G, a shift s in G and an s-invariant 2-cocycle w of G (with values in the circle group Π), we can form the twisted group von Neumann algebra $W^*(G,w)$ generated by unitaries $\{L_g : g \in G\}$ satisfying $L_g L_h = w(g,h) L_{g+h}$ (equivalently, $L_g L_h = b(g,h) L_h L_g$, where $b(g,h) = \frac{w(g,h)}{w(h,g)}$ is an antisymmetric bicharacter of G) and an endomorphism σ of $W^*(G,w)$ satisfying $\sigma(L_g) = L_{s(g)}$, called a group shift.

Lemma 1.1 (Bures,Yin [BY-1]) *Let H be a subgroup of G. Then*

$$W^*(H,w)' \cap W^*(G,w) = W^*(\{g \in G : b(g,h) = 1 \text{ for all } h \in H\}, w).$$

If w is nondegenerate (i.e. $\{g \in G : b(g,h) = 1 \text{ for all } h \in G\} = \{0\}$) and G is countable, then $W^*(G,w)$ is the hyperfinite II_1-factor.

Lemma 1.2 *Let H, K be subgroups of G and $H \cap K = \{0\}$, w nondegenerate (see above) and normalized (i.e. $w(g,-g) = 1$ for all $g \in G$). Then $W^*(H,w)$ and $W^*(K,w)$ are independent in the sense that $tr(xy) = tr(x)\,tr(y)$ for all $x \in W^*(H,w)$, $y \in W^*(K,w)$ (where tr is the unique trace on $W^*(G,w)$, see [BY-1], Prop.1.5).*

Remark: In this paper we shall always use this notion of independence (cf [Kü]), which coincides with 'orthogonality with respect to the trace' in [Po].
Proof of Lemma 1.2: It suffices to prove the assertion for sums $x = \sum \lambda_h L_h$, $h \in H$, resp. $y = \sum \gamma_k L_k$, $k \in K$, having only finitely many summands. Then $tr(\sum \lambda_h L_h) = \lambda_0$, $tr(\sum \gamma_k L_k) = \gamma_0$ and (because $h + k = 0 \Leftrightarrow h = k = 0$)

$tr(\sum \lambda_h L_h \cdot \sum \gamma_k L_k) = tr(\sum \lambda_h \gamma_k w(h,k) L_{h+k}) = \lambda_0 \gamma_0.$ $\square$

Let the cyclic group $\mathbb{Z}_p$ be given by $\{0, \ldots, p-1\}$ and addition mod p. If $G := \oplus_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{Z}_p^{(n)}$, the group shift corresponding to the canonical shift in G is called a p-shift in [BY-1]. The simplest example is the following:

Denoting $1 \in \mathbb{Z}_p^{(n)}$ by δ_n, we use the antisymmetric bicharacter b determined by $b(\delta_m, \delta_n) = exp(2\pi i/p) =: \omega$ for $m < n$. Setting $e_n := L_{\delta_n}$ we get the relations $e_n^p = 1$, $e_m e_n = \omega\, e_n e_m$ for $m < n$, and $\{e_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ span a von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{A}$ isomorphic to the hyperfinite II_1-factor. Denote by $\mathcal{E}_J$ the von Neumann algebra spanned by $\{e_n : n \in J\}$ (in particular we use $J = [0,n] := \{0, 1, \ldots, n\}$ and other selfexplaining expressions; also $\mathcal{E}_{-1} := \mathbb{C}\, 1$). Infer from Lemma 1.2 that $\mathcal{E}_I$ and $\mathcal{E}_J$ are independent if $I \cap J = \emptyset$. Using the terminology of B. Kümmerer [Kü] this means that $\{\mathcal{E}_J : J \subset \mathbb{N}_0\}$ is a (discrete) white noise and that the p-shift $\sigma : e_n \mapsto e_{n+1}$ (for all n) is a (generalized) Bernoulli shift. Using this point of view σ has also been examined by C. Rupp [Ru], where it is called a Gauß shift.

In this paper we want to consider a more general class of endomorphisms (containing σ).

Definition 1.3 *An endomorphism α of $\mathcal{A}$ is called adapted with respect to the discrete white noise $\{\mathcal{E}_J : J \subset \mathbb{N}_0\}$ if it can be written in the form $\lim_{N\to\infty} \prod_{n=1}^{N} Ad\, U_n$ (pointwise weak*), where for all $n \geq 1$ $\quad U_n \in \mathcal{A}$ is a unitary*

(a) normalizing $\mathcal{E}_{[0,n]}$, i.e. $Ad\, U_n(\mathcal{E}_{[0,n]}) = \mathcal{E}_{[0,n]}$ and

(b) $U_n \in (\mathcal{E}_{[0,n-2]\cup[n+1,\infty)})'$, i.e. $Ad\, U_n$ fixes $\mathcal{E}_{[0,n-2]\cup[n+1,\infty)}$ pointwise.

Remarks:

- From Definition 1.3 we get immediately (for all $n \geq 1$) that $\alpha|_{\mathcal{E}_{[0,n-1]}} = Ad(U_1 \ldots U_n)|_{\mathcal{E}_{[0,n-1]}}$ and $\alpha(\mathcal{E}_{[0,n-1]}) \subset \mathcal{E}_{[0,n]}$. This property may be called adaptedness with respect to the tower $\{\mathcal{E}_{[0,n]}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$, and it is introduced for very general towers by V. Jones and V.S. Sunder in [JS], Example 5.1.6.

Compare also [Jo] for further examples and results. The presence of a discrete white noise allows us to define a more restricted class of endomorphisms, and we shall show in the sequel that this simplifies the task of proving results.

- The tower $\mathcal{E}_{-1} \subset \mathcal{E}_0 \subset \mathcal{E}_{[0,1]} \subset \mathcal{E}_{[0,2]} \subset \mathcal{E}_{[0,3]} \subset \mathcal{E}_{[0,4]} \ldots$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}\,1 \subset \mathbb{C}^p \subset M_p \subset M_p \otimes \mathbb{C}^p \subset M_p \otimes M_p \subset M_p \otimes M_p \otimes \mathbb{C}^p \ldots$ where M_p denotes the algebra of $p \times p$-matrices. In this paper the number p will always be used in this meaning.

- The $Ad\,U_n$ are in a certain way localized with respect to the noise, interacting like cog-wheels. It is natural to try to understand the endomorphism α in terms of its factors.

- It is also instructive to look at Definition 1.3 as a generalization of actions of 'infinite tensor product type' (cf Y. Kawahigashi [Ka]), which appear if we choose $U_n = 1$ for all even n.

The mechanism of an adapted endomorphism (always with respect to the noise above) can be described very explicitely. Define $\mu := \begin{cases} exp(\pi i/p) & \text{if p is even} \\ 1 & \text{if p is odd} \end{cases}$ and $u_n := \overline{\mu}\, e^*_{n-1} e_n$ for all $n \geq 1$ (the factor $\overline{\mu}$ ensures that $u_n^p = 1$). lin denotes linear hull.

Proposition 1.4 $(\mathcal{E}_{[0,n-2]\cup[n+1,\infty)})' \cap \mathcal{A} = lin\{u_n^k\}_{k=0}^{p-1} \simeq \mathbb{C}^p$.

Remark: In particular $(\mathcal{E}_{[0,n-2]\cup[n+1,\infty)})' \cap \mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{E}_{[0,n]}$, which means that (a) in Definition 1.3 already follows from (b).

Proof: Obviously $u_n \in (\mathcal{E}_{[0,n-2]\cup[n+1,\infty)})'$. To prove the other direction note that (by Lemma 1.2) $(\mathcal{E}_{[0,n-2]\cup[n+1,\infty)})' \cap \mathcal{A}$ is spanned by unitaries L_g, where $b(g, \delta_j) = 1$ if $j \in [0, n-2] \cup [n+1, \infty)$. If $g = \sum g^{(j)}$, $g^{(j)} \in \mathbb{Z}_p^{(j)}$ and $j_1 := \min\{j : g^{(j)} \neq 0\}$, $j_2 := \max\{j : g^{(j)} \neq 0\}$, then we find $b(g, \delta_{j_2}) \neq b(g, \delta_j)$ if $j_2 < j$, but $b(g, \delta_j) = 1$ if $j \geq n+1$ and thus $j_2 < n+1$, also

$\overline{b(g, \delta_{j_1})} \neq b(g, \delta_{n+1}) = 1$ and thus $j_1 > n-2$. Conclude that $g = g^{(n-1)} + g^{(n)}$, i.e. $L_g = const. \cdot e_{n-1}^{k_1} e_n^{k_2}$ with $k_1, k_2 \in \{0, \ldots, p-1\}$. To satisfy the required commutation relations we must also have $k_2 = -k_1 =: k$, which implies that L_g differs from u_n^k only by a scalar factor. $\square$

Remark: We have $u_n u_{n+1} = \omega u_{n+1} u_n$ and $[u_m, u_n] = 0$ if $|n-m| \geq 2$. The restriction of σ to $\overline{lin}\{u_n : n \geq 1\}$, $\sigma : u_n \mapsto u_{n+1}$, also defines a p-shift which is treated as a derivation of σ in [BY-2].

To discuss this structure in more detail fix any $n \geq 1$ and set $e := e_{n-1}$, $f := e_n$ and $u := u_n = \overline{\mu}\, e_{n-1}^* e_n$. We have the (commutation) relations

$$e^p = f^p = u^p = 1,\ ef = \omega fe,\ eu = \omega ue,\ fu = \omega uf.$$

The algebra spanned by e and f is isomorphic to the matrix algebra M_p where we may give the following realization:

$$e = \begin{pmatrix} & 1 & & & \\ & & 1 & & \\ & & & 1 & \\ & & & & 1 \\ 1 & & & & \end{pmatrix}, f = \begin{pmatrix} & \mu\omega & & & \\ & & \mu\omega^2 & & \\ & & & \cdots & \\ & & & & \mu\omega^{p-1} \\ \mu & & & & \end{pmatrix}, u = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & & \\ & \omega & & & \\ & & \omega^2 & & \\ & & & \cdots & \\ & & & & \omega^{p-1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

By Proposition 1.4 any element $U := U_n$ arising in the presentation of an adapted endomorphism has the form

$$U = \frac{1}{\sqrt{p}} \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \hat{c}(k) u^k$$

with complex coefficients $\{\hat{c}(k)\}_{k=0}^{p-1}$. These are the discrete Fourier transform of the eigenvalues $\{c(j)\}_{j=0}^{p-1}$ of U, i.e. $\hat{c}(k) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{p}} \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} c(j) \overline{\omega}^{jk}$. Indeed, using the realization above we find

$$U = \begin{pmatrix} c(0) & & & & \\ & c(1) & & & \\ & & c(2) & & \\ & & & \cdots & \\ & & & & c(p-1) \end{pmatrix}.$$

So $\{\hat{c}(k)\}_{k=0}^{p-1}$ can be chosen to be the Fourier transform of any unimodular function on $\{0, \ldots, p-1\}$. Note that c or $\hat{c}$ is specified by the action $Ad\,U$ only up to an unimodular constant, which can be suitably chosen in applications.

Proposition 1.5 $Ad\,U(e^j f^k) = \sum_{s=0}^{p-1} \gamma_{j+k,s}\; e^{j-s} f^{k+s}\; \omega^{sk} \omega^{\frac{1}{2}s(s-1)} \overline{\mu}^s$,
where $\gamma_{ab} = \frac{1}{p} \sum_{m=0}^{p-1} \hat{c}(m)\overline{\hat{c}(m-b)}\, \overline{\omega}^{ma} = \frac{\overline{\omega}^{ab}}{p} \sum_{m=0}^{p-1} c(m)\overline{c(m+a)}\, \overline{\omega}^{mb}$.

Proof: Straightforward computation using the commutation relations. □

In particular we have $Ad\,U(e^j) = \sum_{s=0}^{p-1} \tilde{\gamma}_{js}\; e^{j-s} f^s$ where $|\tilde{\gamma}_{js}| = |\gamma_{js}|$. If we want to emphasize the index n of U_n in these formulas we shall write $c^{(n)}(j)$, $\hat{c}^{(n)}(k)$, $\gamma_{ab}^{(n)}$ etc.

Lemma 1.6 *Some properties of* $\gamma_{ab},\ a, b \in \mathbf{Z}_p \simeq \{0, \ldots, p-1\}$:

(a) $\gamma_{0b} = \delta_{0b}$ *for all* b.

(b) $\sum_b |\gamma_{ab}|^2 = 1$ *for all* a.

(c) $\overline{\gamma_{-a,-b}} = \gamma_{ab}\; \omega^{ab}$.

(d) *Let* $\gamma_{ab}^{(*)}$ *be associated to* $U^* = \frac{1}{\sqrt{p}} \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \overline{\hat{c}(k)} u^{-k}$. *Then* $\gamma_{ab}^{(*)} = \overline{\gamma_{a,-b}}$.

Proof: (a) reflects $Ad\,U(1) = 1$ and (b) follows from the fact that $Ad\,U$ is an isometry of $L^2(\mathcal{A}, tr)$. (c) and (d) are straightforward from Proposition 1.5. □

Examples:

- If $\hat{c}(j) := \begin{cases} exp[\pi i (j+1)^2] & \text{if p is even} \\ exp[\pi i (j+\frac{1}{2})^2] & \text{if p is odd} \end{cases}$ then a short computation yields $\gamma_{jk} = \begin{cases} \delta_{jk}\, \omega^{k-\frac{k^2}{2}} & \text{if p is even} \\ \delta_{jk}\, \omega^{\frac{1}{2}(k-k^2)} & \text{if p is odd} \end{cases}$ and $Ad\,U(e) = f$. Using the corresponding unitary U for all $n \geq 1$ we get an adapted presentation of the Gauß shift σ introduced above. The occurrence of Gaussian sums in dealing with the discrete Fourier transforms has been the reason for the terminus 'Gauß shift' in [Ru].

- $Ad\,e_0 = \lim_{N\to\infty} \prod_{n=1}^{N} Ad\,U_n$ where $U_n = 1$ for n odd and $U_n = u_n^*$ for n even.

- The automorphism γ defined by $\gamma(e_n) = \omega e_n$ (for all n) is called the grading automorphism. We have an adapted presentation $\gamma = \lim_{N\to\infty} \prod_{n=1}^{N} Ad\, U_n$ where $U_n = u_n^*$ for n odd and $U_n = 1$ for n even. Note that by an argument analogous to that presented by P. de la Harpe and R.J. Plymen in [HP], Lemma 1, one can show that γ is an outer automorphism of $\mathcal{A}$.

We shall need some facts about the grading naturally associated to our way of generating $\mathcal{A}$: for $r \in \{0, \ldots, p-1\}$ define $\mathcal{A}^r := \{x \in \mathcal{A} : \gamma(x) = \omega^r x\}$, the space of homogeneous elements of degree r. For example $e_{j_1}^{r_1} \ldots e_{j_k}^{r_k} \in \mathcal{A}^r$ if and only if $r_1 + \ldots + r_k = r$. An endomorphism α of $\mathcal{A}$ is called graded if $\alpha(\mathcal{A}^r) \subset \mathcal{A}^r$ for all r or, equivalently, if α commutes with γ. If $\alpha = Ad\, U$ is graded then for all $x \in \mathcal{A}$ we get $\gamma(U)x\gamma(U)^* = \gamma(U\gamma^{p-1}(x)U^*) = \gamma\alpha\gamma^{p-1}(x) = \alpha\gamma^p(x) = \alpha(x) = UxU^*$, which implies $\gamma(U) = cU$ for some constant c. We infer that U is homogeneous, and we may classify graded inner automorphisms by the degree of U. From Proposition 1.5 it is further evident that an adapted endomorphism is graded, so all the considerations above are applicable. All this is more well known in the case $p = 2$: cf R.J. Plymen, P.L. Robinson [PR], where the $\mathbb{Z}_2$-grading of Clifford algebras and some applications for Bogoljubov transformations are discussed. We show next that our setting may indeed be viewed as a generalization of Bogoljubov transformations:

Proposition 1.7 *Assume $p = 2$. Then an endomorphism is adapted if and only if it is a Bogoljubov transformation α_T induced by an orthogonal transformation T of the real Hilbert space $\overline{lin}_{\mathbb{R}}\{e_j\}_{j=0}^{\infty}$ (with scalar product $< x, y > := tr(y^*x)$) with the property $T(lin_{\mathbb{R}}\{e_j\}_{j=0}^{n-1}) \subset lin_{\mathbb{R}}\{e_j\}_{j=0}^{n}$ for all $n \geq 1$.*

Proof: Using e_{n-1}, e_n, u_n as above (now realized by the Pauli matrices $\sigma_x, \sigma_y, \sigma_z$) consider the rotation T_n by an angle ϕ_n in the real (two-dimensional) plane, where e_{n-1} resp. e_n have to be interpreted as unit vectors pointing in the direction of the x- resp. y-axis:

$$T_n = \begin{pmatrix} \cos\phi_n & -\sin\phi_n \\ \sin\phi_n & \cos\phi_n \end{pmatrix} \text{ on } lin_{\mathbb{R}}\{e_{n-1},\, e_n\}.$$

The corresponding Bogoljubov transformation is most easily computed by writing T_n as a product of two reflections: first at the x-axis, then at an axis which is rotated by $\frac{\phi_n}{2}$. So we find $\alpha_{T_n} = Ad\, U_n$, where

$$U_n = (\cos\frac{\phi_n}{2} e_{n-1} + \sin\frac{\phi_n}{2} e_n)\, e_{n-1} = \cos\frac{\phi_n}{2}\, 1 - i\, \sin\frac{\phi_n}{2}\, u_n.$$

But this is exactly the formula $U_n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{p}} \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \hat{c}^{(n)}(k) u_n^k$ above in the special case $p = 2$ (with an appropiate unimodular constant). So Proposition 1.7 reduces to the fact that any orthogonal transformation T with $T(lin_{\mathbb{R}}\{e_j\}_{j=0}^{n-1}) \subset lin_{\mathbb{R}}\{e_j\}_{j=0}^{n}$ for all $n \geq 1$ may be written as a product $T = stop\text{–}\lim_{N\to\infty} \prod_{n=1}^{N} T_n$. But this is a well known fact in Hilbert space theory (although we only know references where this is proved for complex Hilbert spaces; the arguments given e.g. by C. Foias and A.E. Frazho in [FF], chapter XV.2, may be easily modified to apply here). $\square$

Remarks:

- Note further that any orthogonal transformation of a separable real Hilbert space may be put in such a form by starting with a unit vector and then applying the Gram-Schmidt-procedure to its orbit (and repeating this if the vector has not been cyclic).
- If $p > 2$ then (in general) $\overline{lin}_{\mathbb{R}}\{e_j\}_{j=0}^{\infty}$ is not invariant for an adapted endomorphism.

2 The stochastic process $(\mathcal{A}, \alpha, \mathcal{A}_0)$

Let us study adapted endomorphisms $\alpha = \lim_{N\to\infty} \prod_{n=1}^{N} Ad\, U_n$ in more detail. There is a second tower associated to α, namely $\mathcal{A}_{-1} := \mathbb{C}\,1$, $\mathcal{A}_0 := \mathcal{E}_0$ and $\mathcal{A}_J$ is generated by $\{\alpha^j \mathcal{A}_0\}_{j\in J}$.

Theorem 2.1 *Assume* $\max_{j=1,\dots,p-1} |\gamma_{j0}^{(n)}| < 1$ *for* $1 \leq n < N$.
Then $\mathcal{A}_{[0,N-1]} = \mathcal{E}_{[0,N-1]}$ *for* $1 \leq n < N$.
If $\max_{j=1,\dots,p-1} |\gamma_{j0}^{(N)}| = 1$ *then* $\mathcal{A}_{[0,\infty)} = \mathcal{A}_{[0,N]} = \mathcal{A}_{[0,N-1]}$.
If $\max_{j=1,\dots,p-1} |\gamma_{j0}^{(n)}| < 1$ *for all* $n \in \mathbb{N}$ *then* $\mathcal{A}_{[0,\infty)} = \mathcal{A}$.
For p prime, one may replace $\max_{j=1,\dots,p-1} |\gamma_{j0}^{(n)}|$ *by* $|\gamma_{10}^{(n)}|$ *in the statements above.*

Remarks:

- In the first case $\mathcal{A}_{[0,\infty)}$ is finite dimensional, while in the second case (using the terminology of [Kü]) the noncommutative stochastic process $(\mathcal{A}, \alpha, \mathcal{A}_0)$ is minimal.
- Note that $\gamma_{j0} = \frac{1}{p} \sum_{m=0}^{p-1} c(m)\overline{c(m+j)}$, $j = 1, \dots, p-1$, may be interpreted as autocorrelations of the eigenvalues of U.
- The assertions about $\{\max_{j=1,\dots,p-1} |\gamma_{j0}^{(n)}|\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ are similar to the relations between choice sequences and Hilbert space isometries (compare [FF], chapter XV).

Proof: Suppressing the index n the nontrivial part consists in showing that if $\max_{j=1,\dots,p-1} |\gamma_{j0}| < 1$ anf if $\mathcal{D}$ is the maximal commutative subalgebra generated by e of the matrix algebra M_p generated by e and f, then $\mathcal{D}$ and $U\mathcal{D}U^*$ together generate M_p.
Assume they do not. Then $\mathcal{D} \cap U\mathcal{D}U^* \neq \mathbb{C}1$, i.e. there exists $x \in \mathcal{D} \setminus \mathbb{C}1$ with $Ad\,U(x) \in \mathcal{D}$. If p is prime then for any $j \in \{1, \dots, p-1\}$ there is some $r \in \{1, \dots, p-1\}$ with $e = e^{jr}$, and if $|\gamma_{10}| < 1$ (i.e. $Ad\,U(e) \notin \mathcal{D}$) then also $|\gamma_{j0}| < 1$ (i.e. $Ad\,U(e^j) \notin \mathcal{D}$) for all $j \in \{1, \dots, p-1\}$. If p is not prime we have $Ad\,U(e^j) \notin \mathcal{D}$ for all $j \in \{1, \dots, p-1\}$ by assumption.
Expanding x in powers of e and taking the grading into account leads to a contradiction to the properties of x given above. This proves the assertion. $\square$

Now consider the following problem: When do we get $\mathcal{A}_{[0,\infty)} = \mathcal{A}_{[1,\infty)}$, i.e. when is α surjective on $\mathcal{A}_{[0,\infty)}$ or, in probabilistic language, when is the process $(\mathcal{A}, \alpha, \mathcal{A}_0)$ deterministic ? We shall need the Hilbert space $L^2(\mathcal{A}, tr)$, the norm of which is denoted by $\| \cdot \|_2$. Call P_J the projection onto $\mathcal{A}_J$. Related to the problem above are the 'prediction errors'

$$f_n(x) := \|(1 - P_{[0,n-1]})\alpha^n(x)\|_2 \text{ for } x \in \mathcal{A}_0,\ n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Note that also $\|(1 - P_{[0,n-1]})Ad(U_n \dots U_1)(x)\|_2 = f_n(x)$.
To $\mathcal{A}_0 \ni x = \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} x_j e_0^j$ associate a vector $v_0 := (|x_j|^2)_{j=1}^{p-1} \in (\mathbb{C}^{p-1}, \| \cdot \|_1)$. Let us further define the (substochastic) $(p-1) \times (p-1)$-matrices

$$D_n := (|\gamma_{jk}^{(n)}|^2)_{j,k=1}^{p-1},\ n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Proposition 2.2 *If $x \in \mathcal{A}_0$ then $f_N(x)^2 = \|v_0 \prod_{n=1}^N D_n\|_1$.*

Remarks:

- This states that for a unit vector $x \perp 1$ in $(\mathcal{A}_0, \|\cdot\|_2)$ the squared prediction errors are convex combinations of row sums of $\prod_{n=1}^N D_n$. In particular:

$$f_N := \max\{f_n(x) : x \in \mathcal{A}_0,\ \|x\|_2 = 1\} = \| \prod_{n=1}^N D_n \|^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

  where $\| \cdot \|$ denotes the maximum of row sums. Note that one may also say that Proposition 2.2 describes the squared prediction errors as transition probabilities of a (non-stationary) Markov chain with p states, one of which is absorbing (corresponding to $1 \in \mathcal{A}$).

- For $p = 2$ the matrices D_n are scalars, and Proposition 2.2 reduces to a well known formula of linear prediction theory (see [FF], chapter II.5, II.6).

Proof: For some n we may write $\alpha^n(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} x_j^{(n-1)} e_n^j$ where $x_j^{(n-1)} \in \mathcal{E}_{[0,n-1]}$. If we associate the vector $v_n := (\|x_j^{(n-1)}\|_2^2)_{j=1}^{p-1} \in \mathbb{C}^{p-1}$, then using the independence of $\mathcal{E}_{[0,n-1]}$ and $\mathcal{E}_n$ we find that $f_n(x)^2 = \|v_n\|_1$.

From the computation

$$\alpha^{n+1}(x) = \alpha(\alpha^n(x)) = \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} Ad(U_1 \dots U_n)[x_j^{(n-1)} \cdot Ad(U_{n+1})(e_n^j)]$$

$$= \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} Ad(U_1 \dots U_n)[x_j^{(n-1)} \cdot \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \gamma_{jk}^{(n+1)} e_n^{j-k} e_{n+1}^k]$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} [Ad(U_1 \dots U_n)(\sum_{j=0}^{p-1} \gamma_{jk}^{(n+1)} x_j^{(n-1)} e_n^{j-k})] \cdot e_{n+1}^k$$

we infer that $x_k^{(n)} = Ad(U_1 \dots U_n)(\sum_{j=0}^{p-1} \gamma_{jk}^{(n+1)} x_j^{(n-1)} e_n^{j-k})$. Note that because of $\gamma_{0k} = \delta_{0k}$ we may for $k \neq 0$ only sum from $j = 1$ to $p-1$. So for $k \neq 0$ we get

$$\|x_k^{(n)}\|_2^2 = \| \sum_{j=1}^{p-1} \gamma_{jk}^{(n+1)} x_j^{(n-1)} e_n^{j-k} \|_2^2 = \sum_{j=1}^{p-1} |\gamma_{jk}^{(n+1)}|^2 \|x_j^{(n-1)}\|_2^2$$

(use the grading and independence). We have found a recursion: $v_n \cdot D_{n+1} = v_{n+1}$, valid for all $n \geq 0$. So finally

$$f_N(x)^2 = \|v_N\|_1 = \|v_0 \prod_{n=1}^{N} D_n\|_1. \qquad \square$$

Lemma 2.3 *If* $\alpha^{(*)} := \lim_{N\to\infty} \prod_{n=1}^N Ad\, U_n^*$ *and* $f_n^{(*)}(x)$ *is a corresponding prediction error then* $f_n^{(*)}(x) = f_n(x)$.

Proof: Combine Proposition 2.2 and $\gamma_{jk}^{(*)} = \overline{\gamma_{j,-k}}$ (Lemma 1.6(d)). $\square$

Theorem 2.4 *For an adapted endomorphism* $\alpha = \lim_{N\to\infty} \prod_{n=1}^N Ad\, U_n$ *the following assertions are equivalent:*

(1) $\mathcal{A}_{[0,\infty)} = \mathcal{A}_{[1,\infty)}$.

(2) $\lim_{N\to\infty} \prod_{n=1}^N D_n = 0$.

Proof:

$\alpha|_{\mathcal{E}_{[0,N-1)}} = Ad(U_1 \dots U_N)|_{\mathcal{E}_{[0,N-1)}}$ implies that

$$P_{[1,N]} = Ad(U_1 \dots U_N) P_{[0,N-1]} Ad(U_N^* \dots U_1^*).$$

Using Lemma 2.3 we find for $x \in \mathcal{A}_0$

$$\|P_{[1,N]}x\|_2^2 = \|P_{[0,N-1]}Ad(U_N^* \dots U_1^*)(x)\|_2^2 = \|x\|_2^2 - f_n^{(*)}(x)^2 = \|x\|_2^2 - f_n(x)^2.$$

Now use Proposition 2.2 to show that (2) is satisfied if and only if for all $x \in \mathcal{A}_0$

$$\|P_{[1,\infty)}x\|_2 = \lim_{N\to\infty} \|P_{[1,N]}x\|_2 = \|x\|_2, \text{ i.e. } x \in \mathcal{A}_{[1,\infty)}.$$

But $\mathcal{A}_0 \subset \mathcal{A}_{[1,\infty)}$ if and only if (1) is satisfied. □

Proposition 2.5 *If p is prime and $\mathcal{A}_{[0,\infty)} \neq \mathcal{A}_{[1,\infty)}$ then $\lim_{N\to\infty} f_N(x) > 0$ for all $x \in \mathcal{A}_0 \setminus \mathbb{C}1$ (i.e. all row sums of $\{\prod_{n=1}^N D_n\}_N$ have a strict positive limit for $N \to \infty$).*

Proof: $\mathcal{A}_{[0,\infty)} \neq \mathcal{A}_{[1,\infty)}$ implies that the maximal row sums (say of the j-th row) of $\{\prod_{n=1}^N D_n\}_N$ have a strict positive limit. Because p is prime, $e_0^j \notin \mathcal{A}_{[1,\infty)}$ implies $e_0^k \notin \mathcal{A}_{[1,\infty)}$ for all $k \in \{1,\dots,p-1\}$. This gives the result for all powers of e_0. For general x now apply Proposition 2.2. □

Example: If $p = 3$ then $D_n = \begin{pmatrix} a_n & b_n \\ b_n & a_n \end{pmatrix}$, where $0 \leq a_n$, b_n and $a_n + b_n \leq 1$. Thus in this case the matrices D_n commute for different n, and we can state Theorem 2.4 using the maximal eigenvalues $a_n + b_n = 1 - |\gamma_{10}^{(n)}|^2$. The following statements are equivalent:

(1) $\mathcal{A}_{[0,\infty)} = \mathcal{A}_{[1,\infty)}$.

(2) $\lim_{N\to\infty} \prod_{n=1}^N (a_n + b_n) = 0$.

(3) $|\gamma_{10}^{(N)}| = 1$ for some N or $\sum_{n=1}^\infty |\gamma_{10}^{(n)}|^2 = \infty$.

3 The deterministic case and Blattner's theorem

In this section we want to consider the question: When is an adapted endomorphism $\alpha = \lim_{N\to\infty} \prod_{n=1}^N Ad\, U_n$ actually an inner automorphism of $\mathcal{A}$? If

$(\mathcal{A}, \alpha, \mathcal{A}_0)$ is a minimal and deterministic process as characterized in the second section, this gives an additional refinement of the classification. If $p = 2$ then our question has been answered by a theorem of Blattner [Bl]. We shall return to this later.

Theorem 3.1 *Let $\alpha = \lim_{N\to\infty} \prod_{n=1}^{N} Ad\, U_n$ be an adapted endomorphism and $tr\, U_n \geq 0$ for all $n \geq 1$.*
The following assertions are equivalent:

(1) $\alpha = Ad\, U,\ U \in \mathcal{A}^0$.

(2) $stop - \lim_{N\to\infty} \prod_{n=1}^{N} U_n = U$.

(3) $2\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(1 - tr\, U_n) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|U_n - 1\|_2^2 < \infty$.

Remark: $tr\, U_n \geq 0$ may always be achieved by multiplying U_n with an unimodular constant. This does not change α.

Proof: We shall use the fact that on bounded subsets the stop-topology coincides with the $\|\cdot\|_2$-topology and other related facts as presented e.g. in [HP], Lemma 4.

(2) $\Leftrightarrow$ (3):

First note that for $n > m$

$$\|\prod_{k=1}^{n} U_k - \prod_{k=1}^{m} U_k\|_2^2 = \|U_{m+1}\ldots U_n - 1\|_2^2 = tr((U_{m+1}\ldots U_n - 1)^*(U_{m+1}\ldots U_n - 1))$$

$$= 2 - tr(U_n^* \ldots U_{m+1}^* + U_{m+1}\ldots U_n) = 2 - 2\prod_{k=m+1}^{n} tr\, U_k,$$

where for the last equality we used independence (which follows from Lemma 1.2). We conclude that $\{\prod_{n=1}^{N} U_n\}_N$ is stop-convergent if and only if $\{\prod_{n=1}^{N} tr\, U_n\}_N$ converges, i.e. if and only if $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(1 - tr\, U_n) < \infty$. Further note that $\|U_n - 1\|_2^2 = tr((U_n^* - 1)(U_n - 1)) = 2(1 - tr\, U_n)$. This part of the proof uses only independence.

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (1):

Using the fact that the involution of $\mathcal{A}$ is an isometry of $L^2(\mathcal{A}, tr)$ we infer from (2) that for all $x \in \mathcal{A}$ we have $\|\cdot\|_2 - \lim_{N\to\infty}(U_1 \dots U_N)x(U_1 \dots U_N)^* = UxU^*$, but also $\lim_{N\to\infty} Ad(U_1 \dots U_N) = \alpha$ and therefore $\alpha = Ad\,U$. Because $U_n \in \mathcal{A}^0$ for all n we also get $U \in \mathcal{A}^0$.

To prove (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2) we need some lemmas:

Lemma 3.2 *If v is a unitary in a finite factor $\mathcal{B}$ and $tr\,v \geq 0$ then*

$$\frac{1}{2}\|v-1\|_2 \leq \sup_{\|x\|=1} \|Ad(v)(x) - x\|_2 \leq 2\|v-1\|_2$$

This is more or less implicit in Dixmier [Di], chapter 7, but for convenience we give a **proof**: The second inequality follows from

$$\|Ad(v)(x) - x\|_2 = \|\,[v,x]\,\|_2 = \|\,[v-1,x]\,\|_2 \leq 2\|v-1\|_2\,\|x\|.$$

To get the first inequality note that the closed convex hull $K := \overline{conv}\{uvu^*,\ u \in \mathcal{B}$ unitary$\}$ in $L^2(\mathcal{B}, tr)$ contains $(tr\,v)\ 1$ (which is the unique element $y \in K$ with $\|y\|_2$ minimal; by uniqueness $y \in \mathcal{B} \cap \mathcal{B}' = \mathbb{C}\,1$).

Choose $\sum_{n=1}^N \lambda_n u_n v u_n^* \in K$ with $\|\sum_{n=1}^N \lambda_n u_n v u_n^* - (tr\,v)\ 1\|_2 < \delta$. Then

$$\|v - \sum_{n=1}^N \lambda_n u_n v u_n^*\|_2 \leq \sum_{n=1}^N \lambda_n \|\,[v,u_n]\,\|_2 \leq \sup_{\|x\|=1} \|Ad(v)(x) - x\|_2$$

and (using $|tr\,v - 1| \leq \|v - (tr\,v)\ 1\|_2$) finally

$$\|v-1\|_2 \leq \|v - (tr\,v)\ 1\|_2 + |tr\,v - 1| \leq 2(\sup_{\|x\|=1} \|Ad(v)(x) - x\|_2 + \delta). \qquad \square$$

Lemma 3.3 *If $\beta = \lim_{N\to\infty} \prod_{n=1}^N Ad\,U_n'$ is an adapted endomorphism, $\lim_{n\to\infty} \|U_n' - 1\|_2 = 0$, $tr\,U_n' \geq 0$ for all n and $\{\prod_{n=1}^N U_n'\}_N$ is not stop-convergent, then there exists $\epsilon > 0$ and for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$ an element $x_m \in \mathcal{E}_{[m,\infty)}$, $\|x_m\| = 1$, so that $\|\beta(x_m) - x_m\|_2 > \epsilon$.*

Proof: Because $\{\prod_{n=1}^N U_n'\}_N$ is not stop-convergent there is $\delta > 0$ and for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$ a number $n > m$ so that $\delta < \|\prod_{k=m+1}^n U_n' - 1\|_2$. Applying Lemma

3.2 for $v := \prod_{k=m+1}^{n} U'_k$ (note that $tr\, v = \prod_{k=m+1}^{n} tr\, U'_k \geq 0$ by independence) we find an element $x_m \in \mathcal{B} := \{u_{m+1}, \dots, u_n\}'' \subset \mathcal{E}_{[m,\infty)},\ \|x_m\| = 1$ so that $\|Ad(\prod_{k=m+1}^{n} U'_k)(x_m) - x_m\|_2 > \frac{\delta}{2}$. But (as x_m commutes with $U'_1, \dots, U'_{m-1}$)

$$\|\beta(x_m) - x_m\|_2 = \|Ad(U'_1 \dots U'_{m-1})[Ad(U'_m(U'_{m+1} \dots U'_n)U'_{n+1})(x_m) - x_m]\|_2$$

$$\geq \|Ad(U'_{m+1} \dots U'_n)(x_m) - x_m\|_2 - 2(\|U'_m - 1\|_2 + \|U'_{n+1} - 1\|_2)$$

(again using Lemma 3.2).
If m is large enough so that $\|U'_k - 1\|_2 < \frac{\delta}{16}$ for all $k \geq m$ then we have

$$\|\beta(x_m) - x_m\|_2 > \frac{\delta}{2} - 2(\frac{\delta}{16} + \frac{\delta}{16}) = \frac{\delta}{4} =: \epsilon. \qquad \square$$

Lemma 3.4 *Assume (1) of Theorem 3.1.*

(a) $\lim_{n\to\infty} \|\alpha(x_n) - x_n\|_2 = 0$ *if* $x_n \in \mathcal{E}_{[n,\infty)},\ \|x_n\| = 1$ *for all n.*

(b) $\lim_{n\to\infty} \min_{j=1,\dots,p-1} |\gamma_{j0}^{(n)}| = 1$.

(c) There is $q \in \mathbb{Z}_p (\simeq \{0, \dots, p-1\})$ *with* $\lim_{n\to\infty} \|const.(n) \cdot U_n - u_n^{(-1)^n q}\|_2 = 0$, *where* $const.(n)$ *is unimodular and* $const.(n) \equiv 1$ *may be chosen if* $q = 0$.

Proof: For (a) check that $\mathcal{A}^0 = \{u_k, k \in \mathbb{N}\}'' \subset (\bigcup_{k\in\mathbb{N}} lin\{u_1, \dots, u_k\})^{-\|\cdot\|_2}$. From $U \in \mathcal{A}^0$ and $[u_k, x_n] = 0$ if $k < n$ infer that $0 = \lim_{n\to\infty} \|\,[U, x_n]\,\|_2 = \lim_{n\to\infty} \|\alpha(x_n) - x_n\|_2$. Note the similarity with arguments using central sequences.
(b) follows from $\sum_{k=1}^{p-1} |\gamma_{jk}^{(n+1)}|^2 \leq \|\alpha(e_n^j) - e_n^j\|_2^2 \to 0$ by (a).
To prove (c) note that since $\gamma_{10}^{(n)} = \frac{1}{p}\sum_{m=0}^{p-1} |\hat{c}^{(n)}(m)|^2\, \overline{\omega}^m$ and $\frac{1}{p}\sum_{m=0}^{p-1} |\hat{c}^{(n)}(m)|^2 = \frac{1}{p}\sum_{m=0}^{p-1} |c^{(n)}(m)|^2 = 1$, for all $\epsilon > 0$ there is $\delta > 0$ so that if $|\gamma_{10}^{(n)}| \geq 1 - \delta$ the function $\hat{c}^{(n)}$ is almost concentrated to a single point in the sense that there is $q_n \in \{0, \dots, p-1\}$ so that (when $const.(n) \cdot \hat{c}^{(n)}(q_n)$ is chosen to be positive) we have $\|const.(n) \cdot U_n - u_n^{q_n}\|_2 \leq \epsilon$.
Given $\epsilon > 0$ then by using (a), (b) and Lemma 3.2 we find that for all large enough n

$$\epsilon \geq \|\alpha(e_n) - e_n\|_2 = \|Ad(U_n U_{n+1})(e_n) - e_n\|_2 \geq \|\overline{\omega}^{(q_n + q_{n+1})} e_n - e_n\|_2 - 4\epsilon,$$

i.e. $|\,\overline{\omega}^{(q_n+q_{n+1})}-1\,|\leq 5\epsilon$.

If $\epsilon>0$ is small enough this implies $q_{n+1}=-q_n$. We can then define $q:=q_n$ for n even. The additional assertion for $q=0$ reflects that $tr\,U_n\geq 0$ for all n. $\square$

Proof of (1)⇒(2) in Theorem 3.1 completed:

Consider the adapted automorphisms $\gamma^{-q}\circ Ad\,e_0^q=\lim_{N\to\infty}\prod_{n=1}^N Ad\,u_n^{(-1)^{n+1}q}$, where q is from Lemma 3.4(c) and where γ is the grading automorphism, and $\beta:=\gamma^{-q}\circ Ad\,e_0^q\circ\alpha=\lim_{N\to\infty}\prod_{n=1}^N Ad\,U_n'$, where

$$\prod_{n=1}^N Ad\,U_n'=Ad(u_1^q u_2^{-q}\ldots u_{N+1}^{(-1)^N q}\,U_1\ldots U_N).$$

Use $u_{n+1}^k U_n=u_{n+1}^k\sum_{j=0}^{p-1}\hat{c}^{(n)}(j)u_n^j=(\sum_{j=0}^{p-1}\hat{c}^{(n)}(j)\overline{\omega}^{jk}u_n^j)u_{n+1}^k$ and Lemma 3.4(c) to conclude that (after suitably choosing unimodular constants) $\lim_{n\to\infty}\|U_n'-1\|_2=0$ and $tr\,U_n'\geq 0$ for all n. Now $\beta|_{\mathcal{E}_{[1,\infty)}}=\alpha|_{\mathcal{E}_{[1,\infty)}}$, so by using Lemma 3.4(a) we find that also $\lim_{N\to\infty}\|\beta(x_n)-x_n\|_2=0$ if $x_n\in\mathcal{E}_{[n,\infty)}$, $\|x_n\|=1$ for all n. Applying Lemma 3.3 we conclude that $\{\prod_{n=1}^N U_n'\}_N$ is stop-convergent. We infer that β is inner (see (2)⇒(1)), while α is inner (by assumption) and γ is outer. This is compatible only for $q=0$. Therefore $U_n'=U_n$, and indeed $\{\prod_{n=1}^N U_n\}_N$ is stop-convergent. $\square$

Corollary 3.5 *An adapted endomorphism $\beta=\lim_{N\to\infty}\prod_{n=1}^N Ad\,W_n$ is inner if and only if there is some $r\in\{0,\ldots,p-1\}$ so that one of the following equivalent conditions is valid:*

(1) $\beta=Ad\,W$, $W\in\mathcal{A}^r$.

(2) $\beta=Ad\,e_0^r\circ\alpha$, where α is as in Theorem 3.1.

(3) Setting $U_n:=const.(n)\cdot u_n^r W_n$ for n even and $U_n:=const.(n)\cdot W_n$ for n odd with const.(n) unimodular so that $tr\,U_n\geq 0$, we have $2\sum_{n=1}^\infty(1-tr\,U_n)=\sum_{n=1}^\infty\|U_n-1\|_2^2<\infty$.

Remark: Recall the following theorem of Blattner [Bl]: A Bogoljubov transformation α_T induced by an orthogonal transformation T of a separable real

Hilbert space is inner and even (i.e. $p=2$ and $\alpha_T = Ad\,U$ with $U \in \mathcal{A}^0$ in our terminology) if and only if $Ker(T+I)$ is even- or infinite-dimensional and $T-I$ is Hilbert-Schmidt. It is inner and odd if and only if $Ker(T-I)$ is odd-dimensional and $T+I$ is Hilbert-Schmidt. We indicate briefly how this is related to Theorem 3.1 and Corollary 3.5: Write $T = stop - \lim_{N\to\infty} \prod_{n=1}^{N} T_n$ with $T_n = \begin{pmatrix} \cos\phi_n & -\sin\phi_n \\ \sin\phi_n & \cos\phi_n \end{pmatrix}$ as in the proof of Proposition 1.7. Computing the diagonal of the corresponding infinite matrix for T gives

$$T\mp 1\, Hilbert\!-\!Schmidt \Leftrightarrow \mathrm{Spur}(1\mp T) = (1\mp\cos\phi_1) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(1\mp\cos\phi_n\cos\phi_{n+1}) < \infty.$$

Form $\alpha_{T_n} = Ad\,U_n$ with $tr\,U_n = \cos\frac{\phi_n}{2}$. Because $\cos x \sim 1 - \frac{x^2}{2}$ for $x\to 0$, our results above translate into

α is inner and even $\Leftrightarrow T-1$ is Hilbert-Schmidt and $\lim_{n\to\infty}\cos\phi_n = 1$,

α is inner and odd $\Leftrightarrow T+1$ is Hilbert-Schmidt and $\begin{cases} \lim_{n\to\infty}\cos\phi_{2n} = -1 \\ \lim_{n\to\infty}\cos\phi_{2n+1} = 1. \end{cases}$

This already implies that the spectral theorem for compact operators may be applied. Thus if one wants to complete a proof of Blattner's original formulation, one is left with the more elementary part of the presentation given by P. de la Harpe and R.J. Plymen in [HP].

4 The indeterministic case

We want to examine in more detail an adapted endomorphism $\alpha = \lim_{N\to\infty}\prod_{n=1}^{N} Ad\,U_n$ which is not surjective on $\mathcal{A}_{[0,\infty)}$. By Theorem 2.4 this is characterized by $\lim_{N\to\infty}\|D_1 D_2 \ldots D_N\| > 0$. A convenient sufficient condition for that is given by

$$(\Gamma) \qquad \max_{j=1,\ldots,p-1} |\gamma_{j0}^{(n)}| < 1 \text{ for all n and} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(\max_{j=1,\ldots,p-1}|\gamma_{j0}^{(n)}|^2) < \infty.$$

Indeed, this means that the product of minimal row sums of $D_1, D_2, \ldots, D_N$ converges to a strict positive limit for $N\to\infty$, and if A,B are any matrices with nonnegative real entries then

$$\min\{\text{row sums of AB}\} \geq \min\{\text{row sums of A}\} \cdot \min\{\text{row sums of B}\}.$$

Note that for $p = 2$ and $p = 3$ condition (Γ) is also necessary. It is an interesting fact that in this case the Jones index only depends on p:

Theorem 4.1 *If condition* (Γ) *is satisfied then* $[\mathcal{A}_{[0,\infty)} : \mathcal{A}_{[1,\infty)}] = p$.

Proof: General facts about towers of algebras applied to the tower $\mathbb{C}\,1 \subset \mathbb{C}^p \subset M_p \subset \ldots$ used here, show that $[\mathcal{A}_{[0,\infty)} : \mathcal{A}_{[1,\infty)}] \leq p$ (cf V. Jones, V.S. Sunder [JS], chapter 5, in particular Proposition 5.1.5 and Example 5.1.6). For the converse inequality we use a result of Pimsner and Popa ([PP],Theorem 2.2), which applied to our problem asserts that

$$[\mathcal{A}_{[0,\infty)} : \mathcal{A}_{[1,\infty)}] = \sup_{0<x\in\mathcal{A}} \frac{\|x\|_2^2}{\|P_{[1,\infty)}(x)\|_2^2}.$$

Setting $\xi_m := Ad(\prod_{k=1}^m U_k)(e_m)$ we have for $n > m$

$$\|P_{[1,n]}\xi_m^j\|_2 = \|P_{[0,n-1]}U_n^* \ldots U_1^* \xi_m^j\|_2 = \|P_{[0,n-1]}U_n^* \ldots U_{m+1}^* e_m^j\|_2 \text{ for all j.}$$

From (Γ) we infer that $\lim_{n>m\to\infty} \inf\{\text{row sums of } D_{m+1}\ldots D_n\} = 1$. Now apply Proposition 2.2 and Lemma 2.3 (for $\lim_{N\to\infty}\prod_{n=m+1}^N Ad\,U_n$) to find that $\lim_{n>m\to\infty}\|P_{[1,n]}\xi_m^j\|_2 = 0$ for all $j \in \{1,\ldots,p-1\}$.
Choosing $x_m := \frac{1}{p}\sum_{j=0}^{p-1}\xi_m^j$ (which is a projection with $\|x_m\|_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{p}}$) we have

$$\lim_{m\to\infty}\|P_{[1,\infty)}x_m\|_2 = \lim_{n>m\to\infty}\|P_{[1,n]}x_m\|_2 = \frac{1}{p}.$$

Inserting the x_m's into the formula of Pimsner and Popa shows that $[\mathcal{A}_{[0,\infty)} : \mathcal{A}_{[1,\infty)}] > p - \epsilon$ for all $\epsilon > 0$. □

Finally we shall derive a sufficient condition for an adapted endomorphism α to be a shift in the sense of Powers, i.e. $\bigcap_{n\geq 0}\alpha^n\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{C}\,1$.
If on the unit circle Π with some finite measure μ one considers the multiplication M_z on $L^2(\Pi,\mu)$, then there is an interesting sufficient condition for the nonexistence of a unitary part of M_z: a strictly positive angle between past and

future (see H. Helson, G. Szegö [HS] for details). To apply a similar reasoning to our problem above we first study a general setting of adaptedness in the framework of Hilbert spaces.
Consider a tower of Hilbert spaces

$$\{0\} = \mathcal{H}_{-1} \subset \mathcal{H}_0 \subset \mathcal{H}_{[0,1]} \subset \ldots \subset \mathcal{H}_{[0,n]} \subset \ldots \subset \mathcal{H} = \overline{lin}\{\mathcal{H}_{[0,n]}, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

(the notation is chosen to fit with the applications to adapted endomorphisms). Define $\mathcal{H}_{m,n} := \mathcal{H}_{[0,n]} \ominus \mathcal{H}_{[0,m]}$ and write $P_{[0,n]}$ resp. $P_{m,n}$ for orthogonal projections onto corresponding spaces.
If $\{V_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a family of unitaries on $\mathcal{H}$, where V_n fixes $\mathcal{H}_{[0,n-2]}$ pointwise and $\prod_{n=1}^{N} V_n$ leaves $\mathcal{H}_{[0,N]}$ (globally) invariant, then $V := stop - \lim_{N\to\infty} \prod_{n=1}^{N} V_n$ defines an isometry on $\mathcal{H}$ which may be called adapted to the tower above (cf [Go] for a more detailed discussion of this concept). Here we only need the following

Lemma 4.2 *Let V be adapted. From the assumptions that for all $n \geq 1$*

- *(0) $\mathcal{H}_{[0,n]}$ is finite dimensional*
- *(a) if $x \in \mathcal{H}_{n-2,n-1}$ then $P_{n-1,n} V_n\, x \neq 0$*
- *(b) there is another sequence $\{V'_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ as above with the additional property $V'_n \mathcal{H}_{n-2,n-1} \subset \mathcal{H}_{n-1,n}$ and*
- *(c) $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (\sum_{k=n+1}^{2n+1} \|V_k - V'_k\|)^2 < \infty$*

it follows that for the operators $S_n := P_{[0,n-1]} V^n|_{\mathcal{H}_{[0,n-1]}}$ there is some $\epsilon > 0$ so that $\|S_n\| \leq 1 - \epsilon$ for all $n \geq 1$.
This further implies that V has no unitary part.

Remarks:

- Sufficient for (c) is $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} k\|V_k - V'_k\| < \infty$. Indeed:
  $(n+1) \sum_{k=n+1}^{2n+1} \|V_k - V'_k\| \leq \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} k\|V_k - V'_k\| < C$ and
  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (\sum_{k=n+1}^{2n+1} \|V_k - V'_k\|)^2 \leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (\frac{C}{n+1})^2 < \infty$.

- If V is extended to a unitary on a larger Hilbert space then $\|S_n\| \leq 1-\epsilon$ for all $n \geq 1$ means that there is a positive angle between past $\overline{lin}\{V^n \mathcal{H}_0, n \leq 0\}$ and future $\overline{lin}\{V^n \mathcal{H}_0, n \geq 1\}$.

Theorem 4.3 *Let $\alpha = \lim_{N\to\infty} \prod_{n=1}^{N} Ad\,U_n$ be an adapted endomorphism. Assume for all $n \geq 1$*

(a) $\max_{j=1,\ldots,p-1} |\gamma_{j0}^{(n)}| < 1$

(b) there is another adapted endomorphism $\alpha' = \lim_{N\to\infty} \prod_{n=1}^{N} Ad\,U'_n$ with $Ad\,U'_n(\mathcal{E}_{n-1}) \subset \mathcal{E}_n$ (e.g. $\alpha' = \sigma$, the Gauß shift) and

(c) $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (\sum_{k=n+1}^{2n+1} \|U_k - U'_k\|)^2 < \infty$.

Then α is a shift with index p.

Proof of Theorem 4.3:
Check that the isometry V on $\{1\}^{\perp} \subset L^2(\mathcal{A}, tr)$ which is induced by α fulfils the assumptions of Lemma 4.2. For (c) note that if $Ad\,U_n$ is viewed as a unitary on $L^2(\mathcal{A}, tr)$ then $\|Ad\,U_n - Ad\,U'_n\| \leq 2\|U_n - U'_n\|$ (by an argument similar to that in Lemma 3.2). Also note that (c) implies (Γ) of Theorem 4.1 which shows that $[\mathcal{A}_{[0,\infty)} : \mathcal{A}_{[1,\infty)}] = p$. $\square$

Remarks:

- Any cyclic isometry with one-dimensional corange on a Hilbert space is already a shift operator, as can be shown with the use of spectral theory (cf Y.A. Rozanov [Ro], chapter II.5). This shows that for $p = 2$ much more is true and indicates that there might be improvements of the results of this section also for $p > 2$.

- On the other hand, Lemma 4.2 is quite general and can be applied to other towers and corresponding adapted endomorphisms.

Proof of Lemma 4.2:
Using (0) and (a) it is easy to see that for all $n \geq 1$ there is some $\epsilon_n > 0$ so that

$\|S_n\| \leq 1-\epsilon_n$. We have to show there is some $\epsilon > 0$ for all n simultaneously. Set $\delta_n := \|V_n - V_n'\|$. Assume that N is large enough so that for all $n \geq N$ we have

$$1 - \prod_{k=n+1}^{2n+1}(1-\delta_k^2) < \frac{4}{3}\sum_{k=n+1}^{2n+1}\delta_k^2 \leq \Delta_{n+1} := \frac{4}{3}(\sum_{k=n+1}^{2n+1}\delta_k)^2 < \frac{1}{3}\ ;$$

to see that this is possible we have to apply (c) and (for the first inequality) $\lim_{x\to 0}\frac{1}{x}\ln(1-x) = -1$ and $e^x > 1+x$.. This will be used at appropiate places without further mentioning.

Let us write $\|\cdot\|_2$ for the norm in $\mathcal{H}$ and assume $n \geq N$.

If $\mathcal{H}_{[0,n]} \ni x = y \oplus z,\ y \in \mathcal{H}_{[0,n-1]},\ z \in \mathcal{H}_{n-1,n}$, then we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|S_n\, y\|_2^2 &=: \sigma_n\|y\|_2^2,\ \sigma_n \leq (1-\epsilon_n)^2, \\ V^{n+1}y &= VS_ny \oplus VP_{n-1,2n-1}V^ny, \\ \|P_{[0,n]}VP_{n-1,2n-1}V^ny\|_2 &= \|P_{[0,n]}V_{n+1}\ldots V_{2n}P_{n-1,2n-1}V^ny\|_2 \end{aligned}$$

(because $\mathcal{H}_{[0,n]}$ is invariant for $V_1\ldots V_n$)

$$\begin{aligned} &= \|P_{[0,n]}(V_{n+1}\ldots V_{2n} - V_{n+1}'\ldots V_{2n}')P_{n-1,2n-1}V^ny\|_2 \text{ [using (b)]} \\ &\leq (\sum_{k=n+1}^{2n}\delta_k)\|P_{n-1,2n-1}V^ny\|_2 = (\sum_{k=n+1}^{2n}\delta_k)(1-\sigma_n)^{\frac{1}{2}}\|y\|_2. \end{aligned}$$

We conclude that

$$\|S_{n+1}y\|_2^2 = \|VS_ny \oplus P_{[0,n]}VP_{n-1,2n-1}V^ny\|_2^2 =: \tilde{\sigma}_{n+1}\|y\|_2^2$$

where $\tilde{\sigma}_{n+1} \leq \sigma_n + (\sum_{k=n+1}^{2n}\delta_k)^2(1-\sigma_n)$ (*).

Further we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|P_{[0,n]}V_{n+1}z\|_2 &= \|P_{[0,n]}(V_{n+1}-V_{n+1}')z\|_2 \leq \delta_{n+1}\|z\|_2, \\ \|P_{2n,2n+1}V^{n+1}z\|_2^2 &= \|P_{2n,2n+1}V_{2n+1}\ldots V_{n+1}z\|_2^2 \geq \prod_{k=n+1}^{2n+1}(1-\delta_k^2)\ \|z\|_2^2, \\ \|P_{[0,2n]}V^{n+1}z\|_2^2 &\leq (1-\prod_{k=n+1}^{2n+1}(1-\delta_k^2))\ \|z\|_2^2 \leq \Delta_{n+1}\|z\|_2^2 \end{aligned}$$

Putting all this together we find

$$\|S_{n+1}x\|_2^2 = \|S_{n+1}y+S_{n+1}z\|_2^2 \le \|S_{n+1}y\|_2^2+\|S_{n+1}z\|_2^2+2\,|< S_{n+1}y, S_{n+1}z >|$$

where $\|S_{n+1}y\|_2^2 = \tilde{\sigma}_{n+1}\|y\|_2^2,\ \|S_{n+1}z\|_2^2 \le \Delta_{n+1}\|z\|_2^2$ and

$$< S_{n+1}y, S_{n+1}z > + < P_{n,2n}V^{n+1}y, P_{n,2n}V^{n+1}z > = < V^{n+1}y, V^{n+1}z > = < y, z > = 0.$$

Since

$$2\,|< S_{n+1}y, S_{n+1}z >| \ \le 2\,\|P_{n,2n}V^{n+1}y\|_2\,\|P_{n,2n}V^{n+1}z\|_2 \le 2(1-\tilde{\sigma}_{n+1})^{\frac{1}{2}}\|y\|_2\,\Delta_{n+1}^{\frac{1}{2}}\|z\|_2$$

$$= 3\cdot 2\ \|(1-\tilde{\sigma}_{n+1})^{\frac{1}{2}}\Delta_{n+1}^{\frac{1}{2}}y\|_2\,\|\frac{1}{3}z\|_2 \le 3(1-\tilde{\sigma}_{n+1})\Delta_{n+1}\|y\|_2^2+\frac{1}{3}\|z\|_2^2$$

(just use $2ab \le a^2+b^2$), we get

$$\|S_{n+1}x\|_2^2 \le (\tilde{\sigma}_{n+1}+(1-\tilde{\sigma}_{n+1})\,3\,\Delta_{n+1})\,\|y\|_2^2+\frac{2}{3}\|z\|_2^2 =: \sigma_{n+1}\|x\|_2^2,$$

where $\sigma_{n+1} \le \max\{\tilde{\sigma}_{n+1}+(1-\tilde{\sigma}_{n+1})3\Delta_{n+1}, \frac{2}{3}\}$ (**).
σ_{n+1} is related to σ_n by the two recursions (*) and (**). Therefore our assertion $\|S_n\| \le 1-\epsilon$ for all n follows from (c) by an application of the following elementary

Lemma: Assume $0 < r_N < 1$ and (for all $n \ge N$) $r_{n+1} \le r_n + (1-r_n)a_{n+1}$ with $0 \le a_n < 1,\ \sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} a_n < \infty$.
Then there is some $\epsilon > 0$ so that $r_n \le 1-\epsilon$ for all $n \ge N$.
For this just notice that

$$1-r_{n+1} \ge (1-r_n)(1-a_{n+1}) \ge (1-r_N)\prod_{k=N}^{n}(1-a_{k+1}),$$

which by assumption has a strict positive limit for $n \to \infty$.
We still have to show that V has no unitary part. First note that also $\|P_{[0,n-1]}V^n\| \le 1-\epsilon$ for all $n \ge 1$: indeed if $y \in \mathcal{H}_{[0,m-1]}$ for some $m > n$ then

$$\|P_{[0,n-1]}V^n y\|_2 = \|P_{[0,m-1]}V^{m-n}P_{[0,n-1]}V^n y\|_2 \le \|P_{[0,m-1]}V^m y\|_2 = \|S_m y\|_2.$$

Now assume $x \in \bigcap_{n\ge 0} V^n\mathcal{H}$. For any $\delta > 0$ we find some n so that $x' \in \mathcal{H}_{[0,n-1]},\ \|x-x'\|_2 < \delta$ and some m so that $x'' \in \mathcal{H}_{[0,m-1]},\ \|x-V^n x''\|_2 < \delta$.

But we have shown above that there is a positive angle between x' and $V^n x''$ not decreasing to 0 for $\delta \to 0$. This is possible only for $x = 0$. $\square$

Acknowledgement: This paper is part of a research project which is supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft.

References

[Bl] R.J. Blattner, *Automorphic Group Representations*, Pacific J.Math.8 (1958), 665-677

[BY-1] D. Bures, H-S. Yin, *Shifts on the Hyperfinite Factor of Type* II_1, J.Operator Theory 20 (1988), 91-106

[BY-2] D. Bures, H-S. Yin, *Outer Conjugacy of Shifts on the Hyperfinite* II_1-*Factor*, Pacific J.Math.142 (1990), 245-257

[Di] J. Dixmier, Von Neumann Algebras, *North Holland, Amsterdam* (1981)

[FF] C. Foias, A.E. Frazho, The Commutant Lifting Approach to Interpolation Problems, *Birkhäuser Verlag* (1990)

[Go] R. Gohm, *Adapted Endomorphisms and Stationary Processes*, Preprint (1996)

[HP] P. de la Harpe, R.J. Plymen, *Automorphic Group Representations: A New Proof of Blattner's Theorem*, J.London Math.Soc.(2)19 (1979), 509-522

[HS] H. Helson, G. Szegö, *A Problem in Prediction Theory*, Annali di Math. pura et applicata IV (1960), 107-138

[Jo] V. Jones, *On a Family of Almost Commuting Endomorphisms*, J.Functional Anal.119 (1994), 84-90

[JS] V. Jones, V.S. Sunder, Introduction to Subfactors, *Cambridge University Press* (1997)

[Ka] Y. Kawahigashi, *One-parameter automorphism groups of the hyperfinite type II_1-factor*, J.Operator Theory 25 (1991), 37-59

[Kü] B. Kümmerer, *Survey on a Theory of Non-Commutative Stationary Markov Processes*, Quantum Prob. and Appl. III, Springer LNM 1303 (1988), 154-182

[PP] M. Pimsner, S. Popa, *Entropy and Index for Subfactors*, Ann.Sci.Ec.Norm.Sup.19 (1986), 57-106

[PR] R.J. Plymen, P.L. Robinson, Spinors in Hilbert Space, *Cambridge University Press* (1994)

[Po] S. Popa, *Orthogonal Pairs of *-Subalgebras in Finite von Neumann Algebras*, J.Operator Theory 9 (1983), 253-268

[Ro] Y.A. Rozanov, Stationary Random Processes, *Holden Day* (1967)

[Ru] C. Rupp, *Non-Commutative Bernoulli Shifts on Towers of von Neumann Algebras*, Dissertation, Tübingen (1995)