Noncommutative Markov Chains and Multianalytic Operators

Rolf Gohm

IMAPS, Aberystwyth University

Stochastic Processes at the Quantum Level

Aberystwyth, October 21, 2009

$$x_{n+1} = A x_n + B u_n$$

$$y_n = C x_n + D u_n$$

Given x_0 and $(u_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ we can use these equations to compute $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ and $(y_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ recursively.



We want to discuss a new approach to describe quantum mechanical systems in the language of linear systems.



Given

three Hilbert spaces $\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K}, \mathcal{P}$ a unitary operator $U : \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{K} \to \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{P}$ $(U^*U = UU^* = 1)$ unit vectors $\Omega^{\mathcal{H}} \in \mathcal{H}, \Omega^{\mathcal{K}} \in \mathcal{K}, \Omega^{\mathcal{P}} \in \mathcal{P}$ such that $U(\Omega^{\mathcal{H}} \otimes \Omega^{\mathcal{K}}) = \Omega^{\mathcal{H}} \otimes \Omega^{\mathcal{P}}$

we call U an interaction with vacuum vectors $\Omega^{\mathcal{H}}, \Omega^{\mathcal{K}}, \Omega^{\mathcal{P}}$.

Infinite Hilbert space tensor products

$$egin{aligned} \mathcal{K}_\infty &:= igodot_{\ell=1}^\infty \mathcal{K}_\ell & \mathcal{K}_\ell \simeq \mathcal{K} \ \mathcal{P}_\infty &:= igodot_{\ell=1}^\infty \mathcal{P}_\ell & \mathcal{P}_\ell \simeq \mathcal{P} \end{aligned}$$

along unit vectors $\Omega_\infty^{\mathcal{K}} = \bigotimes_1^\infty \Omega^{\mathcal{K}}$ and $\Omega_\infty^{\mathcal{P}} = \bigotimes_1^\infty \Omega^{\mathcal{P}}.$

natural embeddings

$$\mathcal{H}\simeq\mathcal{H}\otimes\Omega_{\infty}^{\mathcal{K}}\subset\mathcal{H}\otimes\mathcal{K}_{\infty}\supset\Omega^{\mathcal{H}}\otimes\mathcal{K}_{\infty}\simeq\mathcal{K}_{\infty}.$$

(ロ・ 《母・ 《日・ 《日・ 《日・

We can now define repeated interactions. For $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ let

$$U_{\ell}: \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{K}_{\infty} \to \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{K}_{[1,\ell-1]} \otimes \mathcal{P}_{\ell} \otimes \mathcal{K}_{[\ell+1,\infty)}$$

be the unitary operator which is equal to U on $\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{K}_{\ell}$ and which acts identically on the other factors of the tensor product. Then the repeated interaction up to time $n \in \mathbb{N}$ is defined by

$$U(n) := U_n \dots U_1 : \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{K}_{\infty} \to \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{P}_{[1,n]} \otimes \mathcal{K}_{[n+1,\infty)}$$



Markovian nature of the model

We can think of our model as a **noncommutative Markov chain** or, from a physicist's point of view, as a Markovian approximation of a repeated atom-field interaction.

Change of an observable $X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ until time *n* compressed to \mathcal{H} :

$$Z_n(X) = P_{\mathcal{H}} U(n)^* X \otimes 1 U(n)|_{\mathcal{H}}.$$

For ONB (ϵ_j) of the Hilbert space \mathcal{P} and for $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$ write

$$U(\xi\otimes\Omega^{\mathcal{K}})=\sum_{j}A_{j}\xi\otimes\epsilon_{j}$$

with operators $A_j \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. Then

$$Z_n(X) = \sum_{j_1, j_2, \dots, j_n} A_{j_1}^* \dots A_{j_n}^* X A_{j_n} \dots A_{j_1} = Z^n(X),$$

where $Z = \sum_{j} A_{j}^{*} \cdot A_{j} : \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is a noncommutative **transition operator**. This semigroup property is one of the basic features of Markovianity.

 $\mathcal{T}_1,\ldots,\mathcal{T}_d\in\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{L})$ for a Hilbert space \mathcal{L} $(d=\infty$ allowed)

 $\underline{T} = (T_1, \ldots, T_d)$ is called a **row contraction** if it is contractive as an operator from $\bigoplus_{i=1}^{d} \mathcal{L}$ to \mathcal{L} or, equivalently, if $\sum_{i=1}^{d} T_i T_i^* \leq 1$.

 $\underline{T} = (T_1, \ldots, T_d)$ is called a **row isometry** if it is isometric as an operator from $\bigoplus_{j=1}^{d} \mathcal{L}$ to \mathcal{L} or, equivalently, if the T_j are isometries with orthogonal ranges.

A row isometry $\underline{T} = (T_1, \ldots, T_d)$ is called a **row shift** if there exists a subspace \mathcal{E} of \mathcal{L} (the wandering subspace) such that $\mathcal{L} = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in F_d^+} T_{\alpha} \mathcal{E}$ (F_d^+ free semigroup with generators $1, \ldots, d$) An outgoing Cuntz scattering system is a collection

$$\left(\mathcal{L}, \underline{V} = (V_1, \ldots, V_d), \mathcal{G}^+_*, \mathcal{G}\right)$$

where \underline{V} is a row isometry on the Hilbert space \mathcal{L} and \mathcal{G}^+_* and \mathcal{G} are subspaces of \mathcal{L} such that

1. \mathcal{G}^+_* is the smallest <u>V</u>-invariant subspace containing

$$\mathcal{E}_* := \mathcal{L} \ominus \mathit{span}_{j=1,...,d} \ V_j \mathcal{L} \ ,$$

thus $\underline{V}|_{\mathcal{G}^+_*}$ is a row shift and $\mathcal{G}^+_* = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in F^+_d} V_\alpha \mathcal{E}_*$ 2. $\underline{V}|_{\mathcal{G}}$ is a row shift, thus $\mathcal{G} = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in F^+_d} V_\alpha \mathcal{E}$ with

$$\mathcal{E} := \mathcal{G} \ominus span_{j=1,...,d} V_j \mathcal{G}.$$

▲口▶ ▲御▶ ▲臣▶ ▲臣▶ ―臣 ― 釣��

Outgoing Cuntz scattering system from interaction model

Theorem:

Let U be an interaction with vacuum vectors $\Omega^{\mathcal{H}}, \, \Omega^{\mathcal{K}}, \, \Omega^{\mathcal{P}}$. Then we have an outgoing Cuntz scattering system

$$(\mathcal{H}\otimes\mathcal{K}_{\infty})^{o}, \underline{V}=(V_{1},\ldots,V_{d}), \mathcal{G}_{*}^{+}, \mathcal{G}$$

where

$$egin{aligned} &(\mathcal{H}\otimes\mathcal{K}_\infty)^{\mathbf{o}}:=(\mathcal{H}\otimes\mathcal{K}_\infty)\oplus\mathbb{C}(\Omega^{\mathcal{H}}\otimes\Omega_\infty^{\mathcal{K}})\ &V_jig(\xi\otimes\eta):=U^*(\xi\otimes\epsilon_j)\otimes\eta\in(\mathcal{H}\otimes\mathcal{K}_1)\otimes\mathcal{K}_{[2,\infty)}\ &\mathcal{E}_*=W^*\mathcal{Y}\subset\mathcal{H}\otimes\mathcal{K}_1,\quad \mathcal{G}^+_*=\bigoplus_{lpha\in\mathcal{F}^+_d}V_lpha\mathcal{E}_* \end{aligned}$$

with $W^* = sot - \lim_{n \to \infty} U(n)^* |_{\mathcal{P}^o_{\infty}}, \quad \mathcal{Y} := \Omega^{\mathcal{H}} \otimes (\Omega_1^{\mathcal{P}})^{\perp} \otimes \Omega_{[2,\infty)} \subset \mathcal{P}^o_{\infty}$

$$\mathcal{E} := \mathcal{H} \otimes (\Omega_1^{\mathcal{K}})^{\perp} \otimes \Omega_{[2,\infty)}^{\mathcal{K}}, \quad \mathcal{G} = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mathcal{F}_d^+ \text{ for a set of } \mathbb{F}} V_{\alpha} \mathcal{E}.$$

F_d^+ -linear systems 1

• input space
$$\mathcal{U}:=\mathcal{E}=\mathcal{H}\otimes(\Omega_1^\mathcal{K})^\perp\otimes\Omega_{[2,\infty)}^\mathcal{K}$$
 $\subset (\mathcal{H}\otimes\mathcal{K}_\infty)^o$,

► output space $\mathcal{Y} := (\Omega_1^{\mathcal{P}})^{\perp} \otimes \Omega_{[2,\infty)}^{\mathcal{P}} \quad \subset (\mathcal{P}_{\infty})^o$

With $H \otimes \mathcal{K} = \mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{U}$ the interaction U maps $\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{U}$ onto $\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{P}$ which contains \mathcal{Y} (identifying \mathcal{P} and \mathcal{P}_1). Hence for j = 1, ..., dwe can define

$$A_j: \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}, \quad B_j: \mathcal{U} \to \mathcal{H}, \quad C: \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{Y}, \quad D: \mathcal{U} \to \mathcal{Y}$$

$$U(\xi \oplus \eta) =: \sum_{j=1}^{d} (A_j \xi + B_j \eta) \otimes \epsilon_j$$
$$P_{\mathcal{Y}} U(\xi \oplus \eta) =: C\xi + D\eta,$$

with $\xi \in \mathcal{H}, \eta \in \mathcal{U}$ and $(\epsilon_j)_{j=1}^d$ ONB of \mathcal{P} and $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{Y}}$ proj. onto \mathcal{Y}

F_d^+ -linear systems 2

Further we define the colligation

$$\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{U}} := \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & B_1 \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ A_d & B_d \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} : \quad \mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{U} \to \bigoplus_{j=1}^d \mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{Y}$$

As usual, the colligation C_U gives rise to a F_d^+ -linear system Σ_U (noncommutative Fornasini-Marchesini system)

$$\begin{aligned} x(j\alpha) &= A_j x(\alpha) + B_j u(\alpha) \\ y(\alpha) &= C x(\alpha) + D u(\alpha), \end{aligned}$$

where j = 1, ..., d, further $\alpha, j\alpha$ (concatenation) are words in F_d^+ and

$$x: F_d^+ \to \mathcal{H}, \quad u: F_d^+ \to \mathcal{U}, \quad y: F_d^+ \to \mathcal{Y}.$$

F_d^+ -linear systems 3

Given $x(\emptyset)$ and u we can use Σ_U to compute x and y recursively.



dyadic tree for d = 2

Transfer function 1

A very elegant way to encode all the information about the evolution of an F_d^+ -linear system into a single mathematical object is the use of a transfer function. For this we define the 'Fourier transform' of x as

$$\hat{x}(z) = \sum_{\alpha \in F_d^+} x(\alpha) z^{\alpha},$$

where $z^{\alpha} = z_{\alpha_n} \dots z_{\alpha_1}$ if $\alpha = \alpha_n \dots \alpha_1 \in F_d^+$ and $z = (z_1, \dots, z_d)$ is a *d*-tuple of formal non-commuting indeterminates. Similarly $\hat{u}(z) = \sum_{\alpha \in F_d^+} u(\alpha) z^{\alpha}$ and $\hat{y}(z) = \sum_{\alpha \in F_d^+} y(\alpha) z^{\alpha}$. For $x(\emptyset) = 0$ we have the **input-output relation**

$$\hat{y}(z) = \Theta_U(z)\,\hat{u}(z)$$

where

$$\Theta_U(z) := \sum_{\alpha \in F_d^+} \Theta_U^{(\alpha)} z^{\alpha} := D + C \sum_{\substack{\beta \in F_d^+ \\ j=1,\dots,d}} A_{\beta} B_j z^{\beta j}$$

Transfer function 2

We call the formal non-commutative power series $\Theta_U(z) := \sum_{\alpha \in F_d^+} \Theta_U^{(\alpha)} z^{\alpha}$ the **transfer function** associated to the interaction U. The 'Taylor coefficients' $\Theta_U^{(\alpha)}$ are operators from \mathcal{U} to \mathcal{Y} .

Now we want to proceed from formal power series to operators between Hilbert spaces.

Theorem

The input-output relation

$$\hat{y}(z) = \Theta_U(z)\,\hat{u}(z)$$

corresponds to a contraction

$$M_{\Theta_U}: \ell^2(F_d^+, \mathcal{U}) \to \ell^2(F_d^+, \mathcal{Y})$$

which (with $x(\emptyset) = 0$) maps an input sequence u to the corresponding output sequence y.

Transfer function 3

The operator M_{Θ_U} has the property that it intertwines with right translation, i.e., for all $j = 1, \ldots, d$

$$\mathcal{M}_{\Theta_U} ig(\sum_{lpha \in \mathcal{F}_d^+} x(lpha) z^lpha \ z^j ig) \ = \ \mathcal{M}_{\Theta_U} ig(\sum_{lpha \in \mathcal{F}_d^+} x(lpha) z^lpha ig) \ z^j \ .$$

Such operators have been called **analytic intertwining operators** or **multianalytic operators**: there are analogies to the theory of multiplication operators by analytic functions on Hardy spaces. The non-commutative power series Θ_U is called the **symbol** of M_{Θ_U} .

It was one of the motivations for this work to make this theory available for the study of interaction models and non-commutative Markov chains. Note that because M_{Θ_U} is a contraction the transfer function Θ_U belongs to the socalled **non-commutative** Schur class $S_{nc,d}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{Y})$.

Physical interpretation 1

We may think of \mathcal{H} as the (quantum mechanical) Hilbert space of an atom, \mathcal{K}_{ℓ} as the Hilbert space of a part of a light beam or field which interacts with the atom at time ℓ .

We think of $\Omega^{\mathcal{H}}$ as a vacuum state of the atom and of $\Omega^{\mathcal{K}}=\Omega^{\mathcal{P}}$ in $\mathcal{K}=\mathcal{P}$ as a state indicating that no photon is present. Then

$$\eta \in \mathcal{U} = \mathcal{H} \otimes (\Omega_1^{\mathcal{K}})^{\perp} \otimes \Omega_{[2,\infty)}^{\mathcal{K}} \subset \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{K}_{\infty}$$

represents a vector state with

- photons arriving at time 1 and stimulating an interaction between the atom and the field,
- but no further photons arriving at later times.
- Nevertheless it may happen that some activity (emission) is induced which goes on for a longer period.

The orthogonal projection P_{α} onto

$$\epsilon_{\alpha_1}\otimes\ldots\otimes\epsilon_{\alpha_{n-1}}\otimes(\Omega^{\mathcal{P}}_n)^{\perp}\otimes\Omega_{[n+1,\infty)},$$

corresponds to the following event:

- We measure data α₁,..., α_{n-1} at times 1,..., n − 1 in the field, finally there is a last detection of photons corresponding to (Ω^P_n)[⊥] at time n, nothing happens after time n.
- ► This experimental record is obtained by measuring (at times indexed by the positive integers) an observable Y ∈ B(P) with eigenvectors e₁,..., e_d. Such lists of data have been used for indirect measurements of an atom, for quantum filtering and for updating protocols such as quantum trajectories.

Physical interpretation 3

We can obtain the formula

$$\mathsf{P}_{\alpha} U(\mathsf{n})\eta = \Theta_U^{(\alpha)}\eta$$

According to the usual probabilistic interpretation of quantum mechanics this means for example that

I

$$\pi_{\alpha} := \| \Theta_U^{(\alpha)} \eta \|^2$$

is the probability for the event described by P_{α} if we start in the state η at time 0.

 Actually the transfer function also keeps track of the complex amplitudes and contains additional coherent information.

Conclusion: We can think of the transfer function Θ_U as a convenient way to assemble such data into a single mathematical object.

- The control theoretic concept of 'observability' for our model is closely related to an operator-algebraic scattering theory for noncommutative Markov chains.
- Developing 'noncommutative analyticity' with applications to open quantum systems and quantum control seems to be a promising idea.

For the contents of this talk and for references see Rolf Gohm, Non-Commutative Markov Chains and Multi-Analytic Operators, arXiv:0902.3445