

## Feedback Questionnaire 2011

TOTAL NUMBER OF RESPONDANTS = 48							
The aims of the Colloquium were made clear	30 Strongly agree	17		1	Strongly disagree		
The aims of the Colloquium were achieved	20 Strongly agree	23	2	1	Strongly disagree		
The aims of the Colloquium met my expectations	20 Strongly agree	23	3	1	Strongly disagree		
The Colloquium was thought provoking	32 Strongly agree	14	1	1	Strongly disagree		
The invited speakers were interesting and worthwhile	31 Strongly agree	14	2		Strongly disagree		
The length of each presentation and therefore the duration of the Colloquium over the day was ideal	25 Strongly agree	20	3		Strongly disagree		
The time of year that the Colloquium was held was convenient to me	30 Strongly agree	17			Strongly disagree		
The venue was conveniently located	20 Strongly agree	16	10	2	Strongly disagree		
The venue was suitable for an event such as the Colloquium	25 Strongly agree	18	6		Strongly disagree		
The communications I received from the organisers (advertising e-mails, booking information, booking confirmation etc.) was effective and adequate	25 Strongly agree	18	3		Strongly disagree		
The registration fee for the Colloquium was good value for money	22 Strongly agree	18	7		Strongly disagree		

The Colloquium was effective at improving communications within the equine breeding industry	15 Strongly agree	24	6	1	Strongly disagree
I would recommend the Colloquium to colleagues and friends if a similar event is held in future	28 Strongly agree	15	5		Strongly Disagree

What were the strengths of the Colloquium?

Information presented (inc. research) = 10

Good quality of speakers = 12

Professional people who attended = 1

Mix of practical and science-led speakers = 12

Time management = 1

Opportunity for discussion in groups = 10

The whole concept = 2

Student friendly = 6

Interaction between speakers and delegates = 2

Sponsors present = 1

Networking opportunities = 5

Good structure of the day = 1

Only 1 day long = 1

Friendly atmosphere = 1

Bringing academia and practice together = 3

Good organisation = 2

Presentations were pitched at the correct level = 2

For any of the questions which you 'strongly disagreed' please comment why.

Need more support from the industry= 2

How do you think this Colloquium could be improved?

Send delegates topics for discussion groups before the event = 2

Some presentations lacked depth = 1

Shorter discussion session = 3

More speakers = 1

Questions asked at end of presentations were related only to the individual asking the question =1

More industry people present = 4

Microphones for speakers = 1

Include a practical session/element = 1

More time for discussion after each session = 1

Put 1 speaker/chair in each discussion group = 2

Biscuits in morning break as well as afternoon = 1

Poor room for viewing theatre presentations = 3

Have a 'panel' question time = 1

Describe how research findings can be carried out by lay people = 1

More structure to the discussion sessions = 1

Each speaker needed more time = 2

Condense the day (later start, earlier finish) = 3

Expand to 2 days (1 science day, 1 applied day) = 3

Separate science and practical presentations so delegates can chose most relevant to them = 1

Don't reduce the level of science communicated any further than it is now = 1 Advertise in equine forums = 1

## THE ORGANISERS WOULD LIKE TO THANK YOU FOR SUPPORTING THE COLLOQUIUM FOR EQUINE REPRODUCTION